Risk Assessment Tool

Grantee Name:	(Redacted)		
Grant Award Number(s) or CFDA Number:	20.509		
Program Name(s):	FTA - Formula Grants for Rural Areas		
Risk Assessment Completed by:	Andrew O'Keefe		
Risk Assessment Completed Date:	EXAMPLE		
Grant Period(s):	July 1, 2015		
Grant Amount(s):	6/30/2017		
Total Score:	185		
Risk Assessment:	High Risk		

_					
			<u>Medium</u>	<u>Large</u>	
1. Amount		Small <\$25,000	\$25,000 to	×6350 000	
			\$250,000	>\$250,000	
Amount of the award (If award amount is unknown, an estimated award amount should be used.)				X	
2. Accounting System		Automated	Manual	Combination	
Type of accounting system used by the entity			Х		
3. Program Complexity	Not Complex	Slightly Complex	Moderately Complex	Highly Complex	
Rate the complexity of the program				X	
Programs with complex compliance requirements have a higher risk of no	n-compliance. In your	determination of co	mplexity consider w	hether the	
program has complex grant requirements (If you choose one, select slightly co	omplex; if you choose tw	o, select moderately co	mplex; if you choose t	hree or four, select	
highly complex). The following are some examples of reasons a program would be considered more complex:					
 Complex programmatic requirements and/or must adhere to 	► Complex programmatic requirements and/or must adhere to				
regulations					
 Matching funds or Maintenance of Effort are required 	► The entity f	further subcontracts	out the program		
4. Entity Risk				Yes/No	
Rank the entity based on your knowledge of the following:				163/110	
a. Is the entity receiving an award for the first time?			Yes		
b. Did the entity adhere to all terms and conditions of prior grant awards?			N/A		
c. Does the entity have adequate and qualified staff to comply with the te	rms of the agreement	?		No	
d. Does the entity have prior experience with similar programs?				No	
e. Does the entity maintain policies which include procedures for assuring compliance with the terms of the award?			No		
f. Does the entity have an accounting system that will allow them to completely and accurately track the receipt and disbursements of			Yes		
funds related to the award?				163	
g. Does the federal program require staff to track their time associated with the award?				Yes	
h. If yes, does the entity have a system in place that will account for 100% of each employee's time? (If answered no to 4g, leave blank)			o 4g, leave blank)	Yes	
i. Did the entity's key staff members attend required trainings and meetings during prior grant awards?				N/A	
j. Did the entity's key staff members respond to State requests timely during prior grant awards?			N/A		
k. Did the entity have one or more audit findings in their last single audit regarding program non-compliance?			N/A		
I. Did the entity have one or more audit findings in their last single audit regarding significant internal control deficiency?			N/A		
m. Was the entity audited by the Federal government in the prior year(s)?			No		
n. If yes, did the audit result in one or more audit finding? (If answered no	to 4m, leave blank)				
o. Other issues that may indicate high risk of non-compliance? Explain:		1, 2, 3, 5		20	
(Assign 5 points for each issue from below that applies)					
<u>Other issues:</u> (1) having new or substantially changed systems or software packages, i.e. ac award management, program; (3) external risks including: economic conditions, political co program; (5) new activities, products, or services; (6) organizational restructuring; (7) where	nditions, regulatory changes	s & unreliable information	; (4) loss of license or ac	creditation to operate	

program; (5) new from direct costs.

5. Reporting & Budget	
Rank the entity based on your knowledge of the following:	
a. Were performance reports submitted timely for prior grant awards? (i.e. within the agency specified timeframe)	
b. Was reasonable progress made towards performance goals for prior grant awards?	
c. Were financial reports submitted timely for prior grant awards?	
d. Were financial reports accurate for prior grant awards?	
e. Did the entity stay on budget in prior years?	
Low = 0 - 85 Moderate = 86 - 170 High = 170 and higher TOTAL RISK	POINTS: 185

Risk Assessment Tool

, ,	Lorenzo		
Low Risk	High Risk		
Most of the following attributes should be present to be considered <u>low</u>	One or more of the following attributes may be present to be considered		
risk	<u>high</u> risk		
▶ entity has complied with the terms and conditions of prior grant	► History of unsatisfactory performance or failure to adhere to prior grant		
awards.	terms and conditions		
No known financial management problems or financial instability	► Financial management problems and/or instability; inadequate financial		
No known financial management problems or financial instability	management system		
► High quality programmatic performance	► Program has highly complex compliance requirements		
► No, or very insignificant, audit or other monitoring findings	► Significant findings or questioned costs from prior audit		
► Timely and accurate financial and performance reports	► Untimely, inadequate, inaccurate reports		
► Program likely does not have complex compliance requirements	► Recurring/unresolved issues		
▶ entity has received some form of monitoring (e.g., single audit, on-	► Lack of contact with entity or any prior monitoring		
site review, etc.)	► Large award amount		

Additional notes or considerations specific to the Grantee:

New grantee, no experience with program. Mutiple federal requirements (service interruption plan, Title VI plan, vehicle maintenance pla
safety and security plan, internal procedures, operations manual, vehicle inspections) not yet met. 3 tier compliance plan developed with
deliverables required prior to acessing grant funds.