

OREGON BOARD OF MEDICAL IMAGING
BOARD MEETING, January 16, 2015
Portland State Office Building, 800 NE Oregon Street
Conference Room "1-D"

APPROVED MINUTES

Board attendance: Frank Krause (Chair), Shirlee Templeton (Vice-Chair), Wayne Lemler, William McMillen, Kimberly Earp, Dr. Akshay Gupta, Kelly Karraker. Also David Howe (RPS; advisory member), Rick Wendt (RPS; advisory member), Catherine Hess (RPS; advisory member).

Staff in attendance: Ed Conlow, Executive Director; Carol Parks, Senior Assistant Attorney General; Michelle Van Kleeck, OBMI investigator.

Call to order: 8:45 a.m. by board chair Frank Krause.

Executive session: Frank Krause convened the board in executive session pursuant to ORS 192.660(2)(k) at 8:47 a.m. End executive session at 12:10 p.m.

Authority delegated to executive director on disciplinary cases: Motion to delegate to the executive director the authority to do an initial investigation on cases in which license applicants fail to properly report criminal activity on their license or permit application. The executive director will complete an initial investigation and bring the findings to the board at the next board meeting, for possible board action. Motion by Krause; second by Gupta. Approved unanimously.

Recess to get lunch: Chair Frank Krause recessed public session at 12:15 and directed Board members to get lunch and return for public session, which reconvened at 12:35 p.m.

Approval of minutes from previous board meeting: Earp made the motion, Templeton seconded, to approve without amendment the draft minutes from the October 17, 2014 board meeting. Motion adopted unanimously.

Ratification of licenses: Motion to ratify by Earp; second by Templeton. Approved unanimously.

1. Radiographer licenses: From 171940 through 1712003
2. Nuclear medicine licenses: 500292 through 500296
3. MRI licenses: From 400555 through 400569
4. Sonography licenses: From 601207 through 601257
5. Limited x-ray machine operator permits: From 4179 through 4189
6. Radiation therapy licenses: 270117 through 270124
7. All temporary initial medical imaging modality licenses and permits: S52208 – L52237

Investigative Case Vote:

Case 14-11-02: \$500 civil penalty for obtaining a license through misrepresentation, in accordance with ORS 688.525(1)(g) and OAR 337-030-0010(3)(i). Motion by Templeton; seconded by Earp. Approved unanimously.

Case 14-12-02: Motion to issue a letter of concern for practicing without a license for a period of several months, with no civil penalty based upon the fact that the licensee was a recent graduate at the time of the infraction. Motion by Templeton; seconded by Earp. Approved unanimously.

Case 14-12-03: Board motion is to issue a letter of concern regarding failure to report a prior arrest, with no civil penalty due to mitigating factor that there was no basis for the original arrest. Ayes: Krause, Templeton, McMillen, Karraker, Gupta, Earp. Nays: Lemler. Motion failed to pass.

Board ratification of civil penalties for practicing on expired license, with no Board appearance:
Board ratification of civil penalties for violation of ORS 688.415(1) with civil penalties based upon OAR 337-030-0010:

- Motion to ratify \$100 civil penalty related to case 14-06-01, 14-10-03, and 14-11-05. Motion by Earp, second by McMillen. Approved unanimously.

Committee Updates: Ed Conlow mentioned that Kelly Karraker has agreed to help out on the continuing education committee. He also said that he would seek her assistance with the school inspections committee, to help out with inspections of the limited x-ray schools.

Board consideration to approve a permanent rule to create a CT waiver: Ed Conlow reminded the Board that the Board voted at the previous meeting to create a temporary rule to enable a person who does not qualify to perform computed tomography to request a waiver. Below is the waiver rule that the Board previously adopted as a temporary rule and also began rulemaking to adopt as a permanent rule. For the permanent rulemaking, Ed Conlow explained that two comments were submitted during the public comment period, and those comments were distributed to Board members in advance of this meeting. The permanent rule would read as follows:

OAR 337-010-0011(8) On a case-by-case basis, the board may waive a credential requirement of this rule for a licensed technologist, based upon the board's determination that the following two conditions are met:

1. The licensee seeking a waiver:
 - a. has substantial experience, as determined by the board, practicing computed tomography; and
 - b. seeks to perform computed tomography as an employee or contractor of a specified rural hospital, as defined in ORS 442.470; and
 - c. under state sponsorship, passes a computed tomography examination by a registry recognized by the board; and
 - d. must have completed and documented vendor-provided applications training specific to any CT machine the waiver applicant will work on; and
 - e. must have completed a minimum of 16 hours in structured CT education requirements within the 24 months prior to applying for the waiver.
 - f. meets clinical experience requirements as specified in the waiver.
 - g. must have completed five supervised diagnostic-quality repetitions of any anatomic area that the waiver recipient will image with computed tomography. The waiver will only cover images of anatomic areas for which the waiver recipient has met this requirement. Supervision must be provided by a licensed technologist with a CT registry credential recognized by the board.
2. Failure to grant the waiver would result in a substantial shortage in the rural hospital's ability to deliver necessary health services to the community.

The board may prescribe terms of the waiver, including but not limited to time duration of the waiver, supervisory requirements, and clinical experience requirements. The waiver may include deadlines for completing specified requirements included in the waiver. The board may grant a

preliminary waiver conditioned upon the waiver applicant's completion of all board-specified waiver requirements within no more than 60 days from the time the applicant submits an initial waiver request to the Board.

Earp moved to adopt the CT waiver as a permanent rule; Templeton seconded. Approved unanimously.

Update on OBMI legislation: Ed Conlow explained that the Board's legislation, SB 280, to restructure the board to facilitate the filling of board vacancies, is in the Senate Health Committee and he reported that the members of the Senate committee indicated that they will support SB 280. Conlow said that he told the committee members about a proposed amendment to strike the statutory requirement that a licensee board member must be "practicing." This amendment is due to a recent court case in which the board's current licensee membership was called into question since some of them are in supervisory positions and may not be actually performing imaging on a regular basis. Conlow said that the committee members indicated support for the amendment. Other legislation:

- SB 289 – To create a joint standing committee to periodically review all state boards and commissions, sunsetting all state boards. This would sunset the OBMI in 2020, unless the Legislature takes action to repeal the sunset.
- HB 5031 – to ratify the OBMI's \$52 fingerprint criminal background fee.
- LC 1101 – creates a board of advanced estheticians under the Oregon Health Licensing Agency. Earlier efforts would have placed advanced estheticians (who use ablative lasers) under the OBMI.
- At the last meeting, the board expressed support for an effort to allow mammographers to use the GE/ABUS ultrasound device. But it turns out that the board can't adopt a rule to allow mammographers to use the ABUS ultrasound device, because OBMI's statute won't allow crossover between radiography and ultrasound. It would require a statutory change. Conlow earlier notified GE/ABUS that they would need to sponsor legislation to address this issue, since the OBMI's deadline for sponsoring 2015 legislation had passed. Conlow is not aware that GE/ABUS followed-up with a legislative effort.

Update on fingerprints: Ed Conlow indicated that the OBMI started to require fingerprints of new license or permit applicants. He said that the system is working reasonably well so far.

Update on Pioneer Pacific College: Conlow reminded the Board that PPC's new radiography program is currently not listed as an "approved" school, so OBMI's interpretation of current law is that PPC's students will not qualify for the student license exemption and therefore cannot do clinicals without a license. He said that he had recently received a phone call from an attorney who said he represented PPC, who had some suggestions for how the current statute might accommodate PPC's students doing clinicals. Conlow told the attorney to send an email with his suggestions and that Conlow would need to get the board's attorney to consider the suggestions.

Budget update: Conlow said that the projected balance for the 2015-17 biennium is equal to 5-6 months spending, which is considered sufficient according to state practices.

Request from Grande Ronde Hospital for one-year extension of HB 4074 waiver: Grande Ronde Hospital addressed a letter to the Board, requesting a one-year extension of the waivers for two radiographers to continue to perform nuclear medicine. The Board approved the waivers at the April 25, 2014 Board meeting, and the waivers went into effect on April 30, 2014. The request letter was

signed jointly by two technologists who currently have a waiver, which expires on April 30, 2015. Following Board discussion, including comments to the Board from Randy Harp and Barbara Smith, the Board did not take action to extend the waivers.

Board rulemaking to add the NMTCB(CT) and the ARDMS(PS) credential: Frank Krause said that he wants to do some more research on ARDMS' pediatric sonography credential, and will do so by the next meeting. He agreed that the Board should proceed with the rulemaking to include the ARDMS-PS credential, and Frank said he will have research done by the next meeting, when the board will need to consider final adoption of the rule. Ed Conlow noted that the Board had previously adopted a permanent rule to require a CT credential from either the ARRT *or the NMTCB*, in order to perform diagnostic CT in 2017. Given that the board already adopted a rule to recognize NMTCB's CT credential, he said that, to him today's proposed rulemaking seems to be a housekeeping measure, just to allow the board to notate an NMTCB-CT subspecialty credential on the state license of a licensee who has earned that credential. Barbara Smith (PCC; OSRT) addressed the Board to say that the problem with the NMTCB-CT qualifications is that it doesn't require ever having to do a diagnostic CT. Following discussion, the Board voted to initiate rulemaking, and accept public comment, to add the ARDMS (PS) credential and the NMTCB (CT) credential. Motion by Krause; second by Lemler. Approved unanimously.

Ed Conlow asked the Board if they would agree to begin rulemaking to specify that a temporary license applicant must have completed the school program within the previous 24 months, and that the school program must be a medical imaging course of instruction in the same modality as the temporary license. Following discussion, the Board did not proceed with rulemaking on this item.

Ed Conlow asked the Board if they wished to consider requiring fewer hours of continuing education for a bone density limited permit holder to renew their permit. He said that they currently must complete 18 hours over two years, the same as a limited x-ray permit with up to three anatomic areas. He said that he sometimes hear concerns from BD permit holders that they have a difficult time finding CE courses related to their area of practice. Following discussion, the Board did not proceed with rulemaking on this item.

Public Comment:

Barbara Smith, PCC and OSRT: She said she is concerned that there is a hospital in which a cardiologist wants a technologist to inject contrast into a PICC line, under fluoroscopy, with the doctor not on-site. She said that she thought that it would be appropriate for a radiologic assistant to do, without supervision, but not a technologist. She believes it is outside a technologist's scope of practice. She asked the Board to express an opinion. Dr. Gupta said that the supervising physician must be at least be in the building.

Adjourn at 2:19 p.m.

Minutes submitted by Ed Conlow

OREGON BOARD OF MEDICAL IMAGING
BOARD MEETING, April 17, 2015
Portland State Office Building, 800 NE Oregon Street
Conference Room "1-D"

APPROVED MINUTES

Board attendance: Frank Krause (Chair); Wayne Lemler; William McMillen; Pamela Warren; Dr. Akshay Gupta; Kelly Karraker; Dr. Ron Boucher; Dr. Cloe Shelton; Dr. Ken Hirasaki; Brad Betz; Rick Hoylman. Also David Howe (RPS; advisory member), Rick Wendt (RPS; advisory member).

Staff in attendance: Ed Conlow, Executive Director; Carol Parks, Senior Assistant Attorney General; Michelle Van Kleeck, OBMI investigator; Hillary Haskins, OBMI investigator.

Also in attendance: Former Board members Thomas King (by telephone), and Shirlee Templeton.

Call to order: 8:33 a.m. by board chair Frank Krause.

Executive session: Frank Krause convened the board in executive session pursuant to ORS 192.660(2)(k) at 8:35 a.m. End executive session at 12:10 p.m.

At the close of executive session, Dr. Gupta exited the meeting and did not return.

Recess to get lunch: Chair Frank Krause recessed public session at 12:10 a.m. and directed Board members to get lunch and return for public session. The Board reconvened in public session at 12:28 p.m.

Approval of minutes from previous board meeting: Lemler made the motion, seconded by Warren, to approve without amendment the draft minutes from the January 16, 2015 board meeting. Motion adopted unanimously.

Ratification of licenses: Motion to ratify by Lemler; second by McMillen. Approved unanimously.

1. Radiographer licenses: From 172004-172065
2. Nuclear medicine licenses: 500297
3. MRI licenses: From 400569 through 400579
4. Sonography licenses: From 601258 through 601296
5. Limited x-ray machine operator permits: From 4199 through 4207
6. Radiation therapy licenses: 270125 through 270132
7. All temporary initial medical imaging modality licenses and permits: MR 52238 – R 52298

Investigative Case Vote:

Case 15-03-03: The Board discussed a clear failure to report prior arrests on the permit application. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Lemler, to issue a stipulated agreement for a \$500 civil penalty, in accordance with ORS 688.525(1)(g) and OAR 337-030-0010(3)(i). Approved unanimously.

Case 15-03-09: The Board agreed that the licensee had given clear and honest answers regarding criminal history. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Lemler, to close the case with no action taken against the license. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-03-10: The Board agreed that the criminal offenses were in the applicant's past and were fully reported as required. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Lemler, to close the case with no action taken against the license. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-03-02: The Board discussed that the licensee self-reported his drug screen. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Lemler, to close the case with no action taken against the license. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-04-06: The Board excused the licensee's failure to report one arrest due to the fact that the arrest was handled through military channels, causing the licensee to believe that this was not an actual arrest. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Lemler, to close the case with no disciplinary action taken against the license. Also, the motion includes issuance of a letter of concern to express concern with not reporting one arrest. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-02-04: The Board discussed that the permit applicant had properly reported all arrests. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Lemler, to close the case with no action taken against the permit. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-02-03: The Board discussed that the license applicant had properly reported all arrests. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Lemler, to close the case with no action taken against the license. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-03-07: The Board discussed that the license applicant had properly reported all arrests and that the arrest history should not preclude licensure in this case. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Lemler, to close the case with no action taken against the license. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-03-08: The Board discussed that the arrest was properly reported and did not appear to be a concern in connection to the licensee's ability to perform medical imaging. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Lemler, to close the case with no action taken against the license. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-04-02: The Board discussed that the license applicant had properly reported all arrests and completed all court requirements related to the arrests. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Lemler, to close the case with no action taken against the license. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-04-03: The Board discussed the significant amount of time since the arrest that was not properly reported, and the fact that the licensee was a juvenile at the time of the arrest. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Lemler, to issue a letter of concern (regarding failure to report the arrest) and close the case. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-04-01: Due to the unusual circumstances surrounding this arrest, Board discussion was against a disciplinary action for failure to report this arrest. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Lemler, to close the case with no disciplinary action taken against the license. Also, the motion

includes issuance of a letter of concern to express concern with not reporting the arrest. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-02-02: Motion by Karraker, seconded by Lemler, to close the case due to the fact that the investigation was completed and no violations were discovered. Approved unanimously.

Case 14-10-01: Motion by Karraker, seconded by Lemler, to close the case due to the fact that the investigation was completed and no violations were discovered. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-03-04: Motion by Karraker, seconded by Lemler, to close the case due to the fact that the investigation was completed and no violations were discovered. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-03-05: Motion by Karraker, seconded by Lemler, to close the case due to the fact that the investigation was completed and no violations were discovered. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-02-01: The Board discussed the fact that the Board investigator had made several unsuccessful efforts to contact the licensee, after a complaint had been filed that the licensee failed a job-related drug screen. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Lemler, to issue a notice of proposed disciplinary action to suspend the license, in accordance with OAR 337-030-0002(1).

Case 14-10-02: Motion by Karraker, seconded by Lemler, to ratify a letter of concern to the licensee, based upon discussion from the previous Board meeting, and to close the case. Approved unanimously.

Case 14-12-03: Motion by Karraker, seconded by Warren, to ratify a letter of concern to the licensee, based upon discussion from the previous Board meeting, and to close the case. Approved unanimously. Lemler abstained from voting.

Case 14-12-05: Motion by Karraker, seconded by Lemler, to close the case and issue a letter of concern based upon fairly recent DUII, which the licensee reported as required. Approved unanimously.

Case 14-11-06: Board discussion was that further action on this case did not seem warranted. Motion by Karraker, second by Lemler, to close the case. Approved unanimously.

CE Committee Discussion: Thomas King discussed the fact that Oregon is one of only eight states in the country in which the state entity has the authority to approve continuing education requests for Oregon licensees only, for programs offered in the state. He noted that the ARRT convenes a consensus group every other year and develops a broad list of topics that can be approved for continuing education. He noted that Oregon is one of small minority (of the eight states recognized by ARRT to approve CE offerings) that include a peer review process for CE course offerings. For the process of approving CE course offerings, he said that there should be a chair of the CE committee who has the final say, with other CE committee members reviewing the courses and giving their comments and express a position, so we can get a quick turn around. Rick Hoylman asked if the CE committee has a criteria list for approving CE credit. Tom said that they haven't had to utilize a criteria list because the committee has been intact for so long. Ed could research the criteria for approving or not approving CE credit, from the proceedings of the ARRT CE consensus group that meets every two years.

Board ratification of civil penalties for practicing on expired license, with no Board appearance:
 Board ratification of civil penalties for violation of ORS 688.415(1) with civil penalties based upon OAR 337-030-0010:

- To ratify \$100 civil penalty related to case 15-01-01, based upon OAR 337-030-0010(3)(a)(A); Motion by Karraker, seconded by Lemler. Approved unanimously
- To ratify \$100 civil penalty related to case 15-03-01, based upon OAR 337-030-0010(3)(a)(A); Motion by Karraker, seconded by Lemler. Approved unanimously
- To ratify \$500 civil penalty for case 15-04-04 based upon OAR 337-030-0010(3)(a)(C). . Motion by Earp, second by McMillen. Approved unanimously.

Consideration of rulemaking to add NMTCB-CT and ARDMS-PS credential: Following a brief discussion of the two comments that were received during the public comment period, McMillen moved to adopt the rule; Warren second, to adopt the rule. Approved unanimously.

Update on Pioneer Pacific’s new radiography program: Ed Conlow indicated that Pioneer Pacific’s new radiography program is now recognized by the ARRT. Accordingly, the students can do their clinicals without a license, under the student license exemption of ORS 688.435(2), since the Pioneer Pacific program is now an “approved school” by the OBMI definition in ORS 688.405(1).

Ed Conlow provided an update on state legislation of interest to OBMI:

Bill Number and Sponsor	Subject	Status
<i>SB 280 by OBMI</i>	<i>Change the composition of the Board of Medical Imaging to make it easier for the Governor to fill Board vacancies. Under SB 380, three of the four physician slots could be filled by non-physician members, but only if qualified physician applications are not available.</i>	<i>Passed Senate 29-1; referred to House Health Care Cmte</i>
<i>HB 2280 by Or. Society of Physician Assistants</i>	<i>Create a pathway for physician assistants to obtain a limited permit from OBMI to perform fluoroscopy (originally sponsored by OBMI)</i>	<i>Passed House 57-0; referred to Senate Health Care Cmte</i>
<i>SB 289 by Governor/ DAS</i>	<i>Creates a joint legislative standing committee conduct periodic performance reviews of state boards and commissions. In an apparent effort to assure that the reviews take place, the legislation includes delayed repealers for various state boards and commissions. OBMI’s repeal (sec. 175) would be June 30,2020. In a recent hearing before the Senate Rules Committee, the Governor’s staff referred to this bill as a “place-holder”, suggesting that the bill is not in its final form.</i>	<i>In Senate Rules Committee. It is not clear if this bill is going to move or not.</i>
<i>HB 2642 by Rep. Val Hoyle</i>	<i>Creates a Board of Certified Laser Estheticians with the Oregon Health Licensing Office. (OBMI is tracking this bill only because the sponsors were initially seeking to place this new board under the OBMI.)</i>	<i>Passed House Health Care Committee; referred to House Ways and Means</i>

Bill Number and Sponsor	Subject	Status
SB 230	<i>Requires health boards including OBMI to submit health workforce demographic data to OHA. Data is collected at the time of license renewal. Renewal applicants would need to pay a fee (probably \$5 per renewal) established by OHA, to be collected by OBMI (at time of renewal) and forwarded to OHA. This law has already been in place for a few boards; SB 230 expands the law to include many other boards.</i>	<i>Passed Senate 29-1; Referred to House Health Care Cmte</i>
HB 2164	<i>Oregon Dept. of Revenue to require tax compliance to renew a license. For agencies such as OBMI with more than 5,000 licensees, implementation is on 1-1-17.</i>	<i>In House Revenue Committee; will be re-referred to House Ways and means</i>
HB 2683 by Gilliam and Clem	<i>HB 2683 would require the Board of Dentistry to remove a disciplinary action from the website if requested and if certain conditions are met. This legislation <u>may</u> be deferred to allow the health licensing boards to try to develop an approach that is consistent across all boards.</i>	<i>In House Health Care Committee; work session scheduled for April 17 at 1 pm</i>
HB 2475 by Vet Med Board	<i>Authorizes the Veterinary Medical Board to impose a fine of up to \$100 for an administrative or clerical violation, if the violation poses no risk to the public; such action would not be reportable as a disciplinary action.</i>	<i>Passed House 58-0; referred to Senate Environment and Natural Resources Committee</i>
HB 2611	<i>The Oregon Health Authority (OHA) will make a list of approved cultural competency CE classes. It doesn't appear that licensees will be required to complete cultural competency CE, but boards and agencies will be required to collect data on cultural competency CE activity, and report the data to OHA. (Collecting this information may require updating of the OBMI database.)</i>	<i>Enacted in 2013</i>
HB 5023	<i>Budget bill for six health boards (including OBMI) that are located at the Portland State Office Building.</i>	<i>Assigned to House Ways and Means Subcommittee on Education. A public hearing was held in February.</i>
HB 5031	<i>Provides for legislative ratification of the \$52 fingerprint fee that the OBMI instituted by rule in 2014, and which went into effect on 1-1-15. The OBMI now conducts fingerprint background checks on all initial license applicants. Background checks on renewal applicants will continue to be through the state police, not a fingerprint check and no added fee. Most of the \$52 fingerprint fee on initial applicants goes to pay the state police and FBI to conduct the background check. (The reason for HB 5031 is that any fee created between legislative sessions must be reviewed and approved when the Legislature next meets.)</i>	<i>Assigned to House Ways and Means Subcommittee on Education.</i>

Update on waivers at Grande Ronde Hospital: Ed Conlow gave the Board an update on the waivers at Grande Ronde Hospital. He indicated that the current two nuclear medicine waivers will expire on April 30, 2015. He asked the Board if the Board is willing to consider any loosening of the requirements of the waiver, either in terms of extending the waiver deadline, easing the exam requirements, or even waiving the exam requirements, as Grande Ronde has requested. Following discussion, the Board took no action to change any of the waiver requirements that are currently in place.

Should certain forms of cone beam CT be exempted from the CT credential requirement that is scheduled to go into effect in 2017? There were some uncertainties expressed regarding cone beam CT and also with regard to how radiation therapists use CT for alignment purposes. This led to discussion among board members and meeting attendees as to whether the definition of diagnostic and non-diagnostic CT should be clarified in the rules that go into effect in 2017. Kelly Karraker agreed to look at the CT rule and see if she could help clarify these questions.

Budget update: Ed Conlow said that the projected balance for the 2015-17 biennium is equal to 5-6 months spending, which is considered sufficient according to state practices. He said that, during the agency's budget presentation at the Legislature, he told the Ways and Means Education Subcommittee that, if the agency's spending reserve drops below a certain point, the agency may need to think about a fee increase, but probably not anything to worry about for the next couple years at least.

Board training: Carol Parks, Senior Assistant Attorney General, provided a training session to Board members including 1) board member responsibilities; 2) conflicts of interest; 3) public meetings law; 4) executive sessions; 5) working with the attorney general; and 6) legal hierarchy.

Public Comment

No public comment.

Adjourn at 2:30 p.m.

Minutes submitted by Ed Conlow

OREGON BOARD OF MEDICAL IMAGING
BOARD MEETING, June 16, 2015
Portland State Office Building, 800 NE Oregon Street
Room 445

APPROVED MINUTES

Board attendance (all by telephone): Frank Krause (Chair); Wayne Lemler; William McMillen; Pamela Warren; Kelly Karraker; Dr. Ron Boucher; Dr. Cloe Shelton; Dr. Ken Hirasaki; Brad Betz; Rick Hoylman.

Staff in attendance: Ed Conlow, Executive Director; Carol Parks (by telephone), Senior Assistant Attorney General.

Call to order: 4:32 p.m. by board chair Frank Krause.

Executive session: Frank Krause convened the board in executive session pursuant to ORS 192.660(2)(k) at 4:33 p.m. End executive session at 4:45 p.m.

Investigative Case Vote:

Case 13-02-01: During executive session the Board discussed whether the Board's action today would provide sufficient notice to other potential employers regarding the actions of the licensee. It was discussed that any disciplinary action by the Board, including a stipulated agreement, is reported to the national practitioner data bank. Motion by McMillen, seconded by Karraker, to approve a stipulated order to direct the licensee to voluntarily surrender his license and to refrain from practicing in the future, and that the licensee is released from the probation terms of the earlier stipulated order in this case that was finalized November 13, 2014. Ayes: Betz, Boucher, Hirasaki, Hoylman, Karraker, Krause, McMillen, Shelton, Warren. Nay: Lemler.

Chair Krause asked if there was any other business to come before the Board. With no other business, the meeting adjourned at 4:48 p.m.

OREGON BOARD OF MEDICAL IMAGING
BOARD MEETING, July 24, 2015
Portland State Office Building, 800 NE Oregon Street
Conference Room "1-D"

APPROVED MINUTES

Board attendance: Bill McMillen; Wayne Lemler; Pamela Warren; Kelly Karraker; Dr. Ron Boucher (by phone until 11:55 a.m.); Dr. Cloe Shelton; Dr. Ken Hirasaki; Brad Betz; Rick Hoylman. Also David Howe (RPS; advisory member), Rick Wendt (RPS; advisory member).

Staff in attendance: Ed Conlow, Executive Director; Carol Parks, Senior Assistant Attorney General; Michelle Van Kleeck, OBMI investigator; Hillary Haskins, OBMI investigator; Sarah Anderson, Administrative Licensing Specialist; Vincent Mandina, Administrative LEDS Specialist.

Call to order: 8:38 a.m. by board member Bill McMillen.

Election of Board Officers:

- Lemler nominated Frank Krause to serve as chair; Warren seconded. Approved unanimously.
- Karraker nominated Bill McMillen to serve as vice-chair; Warren seconded. Approved unanimously.

Executive session: Bill McMillen convened the board in executive session pursuant to ORS 192.660(2)(k) at 8:40 a.m. Executive session adjourned at 11:55 a.m.

At the close of executive session, Dr. Boucher exited the meeting and did not return.

Recess to get lunch: Vice-chair McMillen recessed public session at 11:55 a.m. and directed Board members to get lunch from the cafeteria and return for public session.

Public Session convened: Vice-chair McMillen convened public session at 12:25 p.m.

Carol Parks, Senior Assistant Attorney General, announced that she will retire at the end of the calendar year. She said she has enjoyed representing this board, but that she is very excited for retirement to begin. Vice-chair McMillen thanked Carol for "keeping the board on the straight and narrow." Wayne Lemler said that Carol has been a "wonderful resource" to him and that she was very instrumental in providing helpful guidance to him, when he was a new board member.

Investigative Case Vote: (note: Dr. Boucher exited the meeting prior to the investigative case vote.)

Case 15-02-05: The Board discussed the fact that the unreported arrest was within a reasonable timeframe for the applicant to recall the event and to properly report it. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Warren, to issue a \$500 civil penalty, in accordance with ORS 688.525(1)(g) and OAR 337-010-0010(3)(i). Approved unanimously.

Case 15-03-06: Board discussion noted that the licensee was correct that the incident that was not reported on her application was in fact a citation rather than an arrest, which does not constitute a

OREGON BOARD OF MEDICAL IMAGING
BOARD MEETING, October 23, 2015
Portland State Office Building, 800 NE Oregon Street
Conference Room "1-D"

APPROVED MINUTES

Board attendance: Bill McMillen, vice-chair; Kelly Karraker; Dr. Cloe Shelton; Brad Betz; Rick Hoylman; Robyn Cole; Dr. Katharine Hopkins; Dr. Steven Edelman. Also Todd Carpenter (RPS; advisory member), Catherine Hess (RPS; advisory member).

Staff in attendance: Ed Conlow, Executive Director; Joanna Tucker Davis, Senior Assistant Attorney General; Michelle Van Kleeck, OBMI investigator; Hillary Haskins, OBMI investigator; Sarah Anderson, Administrative Licensing Specialist; Vincent Mandina, Administrative LEDS Specialist.

Call to order: Vice-chair McMillen called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m. and, due to several new Board members, asked for Board members to introduce themselves around the table.

Executive session: Vice-Chair Bill McMillen convened the board in executive session pursuant to ORS 192.660(2)(k) at 8:36 a.m. Executive session adjourned at 2:49 p.m.

Recess to get lunch: Vice-chair McMillen recessed public session at 11:55 a.m. and directed Board members to get lunch from the cafeteria and return for public session.

Public Session convened: Vice-chair McMillen convened public session at 12:25 p.m.

Investigatory Cases-Motions:

Case 15-04-09:

- Motion offered by Edelman, seconded by Shelton, to withdraw the previously-issued proposed stipulated agreement and instead take no action and close this case; motion failed to carry due to lack of votes.
- Motion by Karraker, seconded by Hoylman, to affirm the Board's previous decision at the 7/25/15 to issue a proposed stipulated agreement for a \$500 civil penalty for failure to report criminal background on the application, in accordance with ORS 688.525(1)(g) and OAR 337-030-0010(3)(i). Ayes: McMillen, Betz, Hopkins, Shelton, Karraker, Hoylman, Cole. Nay: Edelman.

15-08-05: The Board voted to issue a proposed stipulated agreement for \$500 civil penalty for failure to report arrest history, in accordance with ORS 688.525(1)(g) and OAR 337-030-0010(3)(i), and also a \$500 civil penalty for making a false statement to the Board, in accordance with ORS 688.525(1)(c) and OAR OAR 337-030-0010(3)(g). Motion by Karraker, seconded by Hoylman; approved unanimously.

Case 15-08-01: Karraker moved, and Hoylman seconded, to issue a proposed stipulated agreement for a \$3,000 civil penalty for unprofessional conduct, in accordance with OAR 337-030-0002 and OAR 337-030-0010(3)(c), and to require that, for one year, the licensee must have a chaperone in the room with the licensee, whenever the licensee administers drug injections, who can confirm that all proper protocols relating to venipuncture, intravenous access, and administration of medication are followed. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-08-12: The Board referred the case to Oregon Radiation Protection Services to be certain that the X-ray machine was properly calibrated. Karraker moved, and Hoylman seconded, motion to close the case with no action. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-05-02: Karraker made the motion, seconded by Hoylman, to close the case with no action. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-08-02: Karraker made the motion, seconded by Hoylman, to close the case with no action. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-08-11: Karraker made the motion, seconded by Hoylman, to issue a proposed stipulated agreement for a \$500 civil penalty for obtaining a license by misrepresentation, in accordance with ORS 688.525(1)(g) and OAR 337-030-0010(3)(i). Approved unanimously.

Case 15-04-08: Karraker motioned, and Hoylman seconded, to issue a notice of proposed disciplinary action for a \$500 civil penalty for obtaining a license by misrepresentation, in accordance with ORS 688.525(1)(g) and OAR 337-030-0010(3)(i). Approved unanimously.

Case 15-08-09: Karraker made the motion, seconded by Hoylman, to close the case with no action. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-08-10: Karraker made the motion, seconded by Hoylman, to close the case with no action. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-09-01: Karraker motioned, and Hoylman seconded the motion, to issue a proposed stipulated agreement for a \$500 civil penalty for obtaining a license by misrepresentation, in accordance with ORS 688.525(1)(g) and OAR 337-030-0010(3)(i). Approved unanimously.

Case 15-09-02: Karraker motioned, and Hoylman seconded the motion, to issue a proposed stipulated agreement for a \$500 civil penalty for obtaining a license by misrepresentation, in accordance with ORS 688.525(1)(g) and OAR 337-030-0010(3)(i). Approved unanimously.

Case 15-09-04: Karraker motioned, and Hoylman seconded the motion, to issue a proposed stipulated agreement for a \$500 civil penalty for obtaining a license by misrepresentation, in accordance with ORS 688.525(1)(g) and OAR 337-030-0010(3)(i). Approved unanimously.

Case 15-09-05: Karraker made the motion, seconded by Hoylman, to close the case with no action. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-09-06:

- Edelman motioned for a \$500 civil penalty for practicing without a license, in accordance with ORS 688.415(1)(a) and OAR 337-030-0010(3)(b); motion failed because no second was offered.
 - Karraker made a two-part motion, and Hoylman seconded, to issue a proposed stipulated agreement for:
 1. \$500 civil penalty for practicing without first obtaining a license, a violation of ORS 688.415(1)(a) and OAR 337-030-0010(3)(b);
 2. \$500 civil penalty for obtaining a license by misrepresentation, in accordance with ORS 688.525(1)(g) and OAR 337-030-0010(3)(i);
- Aye: McMillen, Betz, Hopkins, Shelton, Karraker, Hoylman, Cole. Nay: Edelman.

Case 15-02-01: Karraker made a motion, seconded by Hoylman, to issue a proposed stipulated agreement for the licensee to surrender licensure and, if and when the licensee seeks to regain licensure, that the licensee will be licensed for a two-year probationary period during which the licensee will submit to drug testing as specified by the board, at the licensee's expense. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-08-04: Karraker made a motion, seconded by Hoylman, to close the case. Approved unanimously.

Gayle Wright, PCC: Ms. Wright, an instructor at Portland Community College, had previously met with other educators from OHSU and OIT (with OBMI and RPS monitoring). On behalf of the educators, she asked if the Board could sponsor a survey of health care providers in Oregon, to try to measure the need for certain types of license modalities in communities across the state. She distributed a rough draft of a list of possible survey questions. The main focus of the educators' group seems to be aimed at whether technologists in rural communities are able to get enough repetitions to meet the prerequisites to sit for a registry exam in CT or MR. Consequently, the educator's group was discussing whether it might be beneficial to have the OBMI offer a state-only limited license to those who couldn't get enough repetitions to meet a national registry's exam prerequisites. The educators' expressed position is that, with a state-issued limited license, these licensees could, by demonstrating competency in the specific subspecialties, be allowed to do just those specific exams. Conversely, the educators argue that, without a state-issued limited license available (in certain modalities such as CT and MR), many of these licensees will not have the opportunity to do any of these exams.

In response, Dr. Edelman expressed concern that creating a limited license would result in limitations on rural practice and restrictions on health services in rural communities.

Rick Hoylman asked the Board to review the survey questions, with the intention of keeping this topic on the agenda for the next Board meeting. In the interim, he asked Board staff to work with Radiation Protection Services to see if there is sufficient contact information available to facilitate a survey of health providers.

Permanent rulemaking for fluoroscopy permit for physician assistants: Based upon consideration of public comments on the proposed rules, motion was made to approve the rules with the following changes:

- Require four hours of continuing education per year, including two hours of CE related to radiation use and safety and two hours per year of clinical use of fluoroscopy;
- Remove from the rule the specific passing score for the ARRT fluoroscopy exam, so that the rule won't need to be amended if ARRT changes the score;
- Delete the supervisory requirements from the rules, in case the supervisory provisions in statute are amended later; and
- Accept two corrective amendments cited in public comments submitted by the Oregon Society of Physician Assistants, to change the word "certificate" to "license" on line 175, and to correct the spelling of "fluoroscopy" on line 158, of the draft rules.

Motion by Cole; seconded by Shelton; approved unanimously.

Election of Board Chair: Ed Conlow said that the chairperson position is vacant since Frank Krause's term on the Board ended. While noting that the Board typically elects a chair and vice-chair at the July board meeting, he asked if the Board could elect a chairperson, in case he needed to issue a subpoena, since the statute specifies that only the chair can sign a subpoena. Motion by Hoylman, seconded by Betz, to nominate Kelly Karraker as board chair. Approved unanimously.

Ratification of licenses: Motion to ratify by Hoylman; second by Cole. Approved unanimously.

1. Radiographer licenses: From 172952 to 173075
2. Nuclear medicine licenses: 500310 to 500317
3. MRI licenses: From 400598 to 400623
4. Sonography licenses: From 601341 to 601386
5. Limited x-ray machine operator permits: From 4220 to 4231
6. Radiation therapy licenses: 270134 to 270144
7. All temporary initial medical imaging modality licenses and permits: L52173 through R52191

Board ratification of civil penalties for practicing on expired license, with no Board appearance:

Board ratification of civil penalties for violation of ORS 688.415(1) with civil penalties based upon OAR 337-030-0010:

- To ratify \$200 civil penalty related to case 15-07-01, based upon OAR 337-030-0010(3)(a)(B). Motion by Hoylman; seconded by Cole.
- To ratify \$100 civil penalty related to cases 15-07-02, 15-08-06, 15-08-07, and 15-09-03, based upon OAR 337-030-0010(3)((a)(A); Motion by Hoylman, seconded by Cole.
Approved unanimously.

Approval of minutes from previous board meetings: July 24, 2015 minutes: Ed Conlow said that he needed to correct the number of one of the bills included in the legislative summary, which was reprinted in the minutes. Cole mad the motion to approve the minutes with the correction as noted; Hoylman seconded. Approved unanimously.

Adjourn: 4:10 p.m.

Minutes submitted by Ed Conlow

violation of Board statutes and rules concerning applying for a license. Motion by Karraker, second by Warren, to close the case with no action. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-06-05: Board discussion noted that there was no evidence to corroborate the incident that the Board had investigated. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Warren, to close the case. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-06-04: The Board discussion noted that the licensee's version of events seemed truthful and not warranting a disciplinary action. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Warren, to close the case. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-04-08: Comments during Board discussion noted that the arrest was serious enough and within a reasonable time period that there would be no excuse for not reporting on the license application. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Warren, to issue a \$500 civil penalty, in accordance with ORS 688.525(1)(g) and OAR 337-010-0010(3)(i). Approved unanimously.

Case 15-04-09: Board members noted that this person only reported partial criminal background, and that applicants were not empowered to pick and choose which arrests they report, because the application calls for all arrests to be reported. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Warren, to issue a \$500 civil penalty, in accordance with ORS 688.525(1)(g) and OAR 337-010-0010(3)(i). Approved unanimously.

Case 15-05-01: The Board noted that the undisclosed incident was not an arrest and that the applicant had properly disclosed two other arrests. Board members directed OBMI staff to clarify the license application form to require all criminal offenses to be reported. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Warren, to close the case. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-06-01: The Board discussed that the nature of the offense was serious enough that the applicant should have known to report it on the application. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Warren, to issue a \$500 civil penalty, in accordance with ORS 688.525(1)(g) and OAR 337-010-0010(3)(i). Approved unanimously.

Case 15-07-05: The Board accepted the licensee's explanation that the offense was of a minor nature and could be perceived as something less than an arrest. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Warren, to close the case. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-07-04: The licensee wrote that he did not report the arrests because he thought they would not show because he was not convicted. Board members noted that the application clearly states that all arrests must be reported, even if later dropped or dismissed. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Warren, to issue a \$500 civil penalty, in accordance with ORS 688.525(1)(g) and OAR 337-010-0010(3)(i). Approved unanimously.

Case 15-04-10: The Board noted that the license applicant self-reported his arrest history, as required. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Warren, to close the case. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-06-06: The Board noted that the licensee has not responded to Board requests to make payment on the license application fee overdraft. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Warren, to issue a notice of proposed disciplinary action to suspend the license, based upon ORS 688.525(1)(g),

obtaining a license by misrepresentation, and also based upon unprofessional conduct (failing to respond to a Board inquiry), in accordance with OAR 337-030-0002(7). Approved unanimously.

Case 15-03-03: The Board noted that the licensee has not responded to repeated Board efforts to get the licensee to respond to the proposed stipulated agreement approved at the April 17, 2015 Board meeting. Board discussion was that the licensee's action constitutes unprofessional conduct, as defined in OAR 337-030-0002(7), failure to respond to the Board, and that a notice of licensure suspension would be more likely to elicit a response. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Warren, to issue a notice of proposed disciplinary action to suspend the license, based upon ORS 688.525(1)(g), obtaining a license by misrepresentation, and also based upon unprofessional conduct (failing to respond to a Board inquiry), in accordance with OAR 337-030-0002(7). Approved unanimously.

Case 15-07-06: The Board noted that this licensee had fully reported the arrests. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Warren, to close the case. Approved unanimously.

Case 15-07-07: The Board discussed that the arrest was of a serious enough nature that his failure to report it on the license application could not be overlooked, despite the number of years since the arrest. Motion by Karraker, seconded by Warren, to issue a \$500 civil penalty, in accordance with ORS 688.525(1)(g) and OAR 337-010-0010(3)(i). Approved unanimously.

Approval of minutes from previous board meetings:

- April 17, 2015 minutes: Lemler made the motion, seconded by Warren, to approve without amendment the draft minutes from the April 17, 2015 board meeting. Motion adopted unanimously.
- June 16, 2015 special meeting minutes: Lemler made the motion, seconded by Warren, to approve without amendment the draft minutes from the June 16, 2015 board meeting. Motion adopted unanimously.

Ratification of licenses: Motion to ratify by Lemler; second by McMillen. Approved unanimously.

1. Radiographer licenses: From 171066 through 172951
2. Nuclear medicine licenses: 500298 through 500309
3. MRI licenses: From 400580-400597
4. Sonography licenses: From 601297 through 601340
5. Limited x-ray machine operator permits: From 4208 through 4219
6. Radiation therapy licenses: 270133
7. All temporary initial medical imaging modality licenses and permits: N52299 through L52172

Board ratification of civil penalties for practicing on expired license, with no Board appearance:

Board ratification of civil penalties for violation of ORS 688.415(1) with civil penalties based upon OAR 337-030-0010:

- To ratify \$100 civil penalty related to cases 15-04-05, 15-04-07, 15-06-03, and 15-07-03, based upon OAR 337-030-0010(3)((a)(A); Motion by Lemler, seconded by Warren. Approved unanimously.

Old Business:

Legislative update: Ed Conlow indicated that he had previously distributed a 2015 legislative summary to Board members, and that copies were available for the public.

Bill Number and Sponsor	Subject	Status
<i>SB 280 by OBMI</i>	<i>Change the composition of the Board of Medical Imaging to make it easier for the Governor to fill Board vacancies. Under SB 380, three of the four physician slots could be filled by non-physician members, but only if qualified physician applications are not available.</i>	<i>Signed by the Governor</i>
<i>HB 2880 by Or. Society of Physician Assistants</i>	<i>Create a pathway for physician assistants to obtain a limited permit from OBMI to perform fluoroscopy (originally sponsored by OBMI)</i>	<i>Signed by the Governor</i>
<i>HB 5023</i>	<i>Budget bill for six health boards (including OBMI) that are located at the Portland State Office Building.</i>	<i>Signed by the Governor</i>
<i>HB 5031</i>	<i>Provides for legislative ratification of the \$52 fingerprint fee that the OBMI instituted by rule in 2014, and which went into effect on 1-1-15. The OBMI now conducts fingerprint background checks on all initial license applicants. Background checks on renewal applicants will continue to be through the state police, without a fingerprint check and with no added fee. Most of the \$52 fingerprint fee on initial applicants goes to pay the state police and FBI to conduct the background check. (The reason for HB 5031 is that any fee created between legislative sessions must be reviewed and approved when the Legislature next meets.)</i>	<i>Signed by the Governor</i>
<i>SB 289 by Governor/ DAS</i>	<i>Creates a joint legislative standing committee to conduct periodic performance reviews of state boards and commissions. In an apparent effort to assure that the reviews take place, the legislation includes delayed repealers for various state boards and commissions. OBMI's repeal (sec. 175) would be June 30,2020. In a recent hearing before the Senate Rules Committee, the Governor's staff referred to this bill as a "place-holder", suggesting that the bill is not in its final form.</i>	<i>Dead</i>
<i>SB 230</i>	<i>Requires health boards including OBMI to submit health workforce demographic data to OHA. Data is collected at the time of license renewal. Renewal applicants would need to pay a fee (probably \$5 per renewal) established by OHA, to be collected by OBMI (at time of renewal) and forwarded to OHA. This law has already been in place for a few boards; SB 230 expands the law to include many other boards. OBMI will probably need to initiate rulemaking to establish the fee.</i>	<i>Signed by the Governor</i>
<i>HB 2164</i>	<i>Oregon Dept. of Revenue to require tax compliance to renew a license. For agencies such as OBMI with more than 5,000 licensees, implementation is on 1-1-17.</i>	<i>Dead</i>

Bill Number and Sponsor	Subject	Status
<i>HB 2683 by Gilliam and Clem</i>	<i>HB 2683 would require the Board of Dentistry to remove a disciplinary action from the website if requested and if certain conditions are met. This legislation has been deferred to allow the health licensing boards to try to develop a workable approach that is consistent across all boards.</i>	<i>Died in committee. A workgroup of health boards will work on a recommendation for next session.</i>
<i>HB 2475 by Vet Med Board</i>	<i>Authorizes the Veterinary Medical Board to impose a fine of up to \$100 for an administrative or clerical violation, if the violation poses no risk to the public; such action would not be reportable as a disciplinary action.</i>	<i>Signed by the Governor</i>
<i>HB 2611</i>	<i>The Oregon Health Authority (OHA) will make a list of approved cultural competency CE classes. It doesn't appear that licensees will be required to complete cultural competency CE, but boards and agencies will be required to collect data on cultural competency CE activity, and report the data to OHA. Collecting this information may require updating the OBMI database. (OBMI has asked whether cultural competency CE courses approved by reputable national organizations--such as ASRT--would be approved by OHA; not sure if this will happen or not.)</i>	<i>Enacted in 2013—OHA is in the process of developing a list of approved CEs</i>

Budget Update: Ed Conlow said that he doesn't have a budget update at this time, because he doesn't have final numbers for the 2013-15 biennium yet, and he doesn't have the final numbers that the Legislature adopted for the 2015-17 biennium yet. He said the 2015-17 budget was approved in about the same form that it was originally submitted by OBMI and the Governor. Of interest in this budget, the Legislature has given OBMI and other boards in the Portland State Office Building the authority to hire an accountant to work in Portland, as an alternative to using DAS' Shared Financial Services. He said that four other boards and OBMI were jointly working toward hiring an accountant who is qualified to manage accounts under the state accounting system. He said that the boards were consulting with DAS and with the Legislative Fiscal Office throughout this process.

New Business:

Proposed rules for physician assistants to obtain a limited permit to practice fluoroscopy:

Referencing draft rules that had been previously distributed to board members and others who had been involving in discussions related to the legislation (HB 2880), Ed Conlow asked the Board how many hours, and what type, of continuing education courses should be required to renew a PA/fluoro permit. It was noted that physician assistants already have heavy CE requirements. Following discussion, the Board decided to require 12 hours of continuing education per renewal biennium, including six hours of radiation use and safety curricula and six hours of fluoroscopy-related curricula. Also, the Board expressed support for language to require PAs who allow their permits to expire for 24 consecutive months to be required to again pass the ARRT fluoroscopy examination (similar to what limited x-ray permit holders are required to do) as a prerequisite to obtaining a permit. Rick Hoylman asked if it would be possible to get the rules draft to the radiation safety officers (RSO) around the state, to invite public comment from the RSOs. Ed Conlow said that he

would see if RPS can provide an e-mail list of RSO contacts, which could be used to forward the draft rules and rulemaking announcement.

Moved by Lemler, seconded by Warren, to initiate rulemaking to create a permit for physician assistants to perform fluoroscopy, including the provisions discussed above. Adopted unanimously.

RPS waiver for cardiovascular technologists to assist in the fluoro room: Rick Wendt said that RPS was approached by a cardiovascular lab to allow cardiovascular technologists (CVT) to pan the table during fluoroscopic procedures. Under RPS rules that would make them an “operator” and the CVTs don’t have the formalized training. Rick said that RPS discussed a trial run to collect information on CVT/fluoro practice, to see if CVT/fluoro practice changes patient outcomes, or if procedure times are extended or shortened, and ultimately whether it is safe for staff and patients. He said that RPS is discussing a 6-month temporary waiver, from which RPS would look at the data to evaluate whether allowing CVTs to pan the table should be made generally available in the rules. Rick said that, if RPS decides that having CVTs pan the table is workable, the next step would be to look at OBMI rules to see if any changes would be required.

Public Comment

Brock Price, Assistant Director of Diagnostic Imaging, OHSU. He introduced several clinical supervisors from OHSU who accompanied him, including Wayne Smith, Amy Harker and Grant Mooney. Commenting on the RPS trial to allow CVTs to pan the table, Mr. Price asked what the motivation is -- is it patient safety or is it merely convenience for one group or one individual?

Mr. Price also said he is having some discussions with Portland Community College (PCC) to develop a PET/MR training program, because PET/MR is a new hybrid imaging technique that is emerging.

Mr. Price proposed the idea of an Oregon-only state license for PET/MR. He said there could be an effort (jointly between OHSU and PCC) to establish a PET/MR training program within the next year or so. He also alluded to the possibility of developing a CT training program. He said he will have more information at the October board meeting, and will have some PCC people on hand.

Brock Price said he has a difference of opinion regarding the level of training that NMTCB registrants need for the CT subspecialty credential, that only requires 500 hours of consult time with no competencies required, for doing diagnostic CT. He said he contacted the ACR and the ACR told him that they would not recognize the NMTCB/CT credential for doing diagnostic CT. He said that, if OBMI is going to offer a license for NMTCBs to perform diagnostic CT, then it should be done in concert with the Joint Commission and ACR.

Adjourn at 1:20 p.m.

Minutes submitted by Ed Conlow