

## **Public Health Division Children Participation in Research**

When a proposed research project includes children, regardless of the funding, the Public Health IRB (PH IRB) elects to apply 45 CFR 46 Subpart D, “Additional Protections for Children Involved as Subjects in Research”. By regulatory definition, children are persons who have not attained the legal age for consent to treatment or procedures involved in the research, under the applicable law of the jurisdiction in which the research will be conducted. Generally, the federal law considers any person under 18 years of age to be a child (although the age of consent for most medical procedures in Oregon is 15).

In accordance with these mandated protections, the PH IRB will consider the benefits, risks and discomforts, and their probability as it relates to the inclusion of children in the research. To justify their partaking, the circumstances of the children whom may be enrolled in the study will be assessed, meaning their health status, age, and ability to understand the context of the research and what exactly their participation will entail. Potential benefits, not only to the participants themselves, but to other children with the same disease or condition and to society as a whole will too be assessed by the PH IRB.

Unlike other Subparts, in addition to Full Board and expedited reviews, most of the exemption categories at 45 CFR 46.101(b) may also be applied to research involving children as human subjects in the same way that they apply to research involving adults.

### **Routes of Review**

The PH IRB may approve the study protocol and its inclusion of children in accordance with any of the three following categories:

- **45 CFR 46.404:** Research not involving greater than minimal risk (probability and magnitude of harm or discomfort anticipated in the research are not greater in and of themselves than those ordinarily encountered in daily life or during the performance of routine physical or psychological examinations or tests).
- **45 CFR 46.405:** Research involving greater than minimal risk but presenting the prospect of direct benefit to the minor. The PH IRB may approve such research if it finds:
  - a. The risk is justified by the anticipated benefit to the subject; and
  - b. The relationship of risk to the anticipated benefit is at least as favorable as any available alternative approach.
- **45 CFR 46.406:** Research involving greater than minimal risk and no prospect of direct benefit to the children who are participants, but likely to yield generalizable knowledge about the subject’s disorder or condition. The PH IRB may approve such research if it finds:
  - a. The risk represents a minor increase over minimal risk;
  - b. The intervention or procedure presents experiences to subjects that are reasonably commensurate with those inherent in their actual or expected medical, dental, psychological, social or educational settings; and
  - c. The intervention or procedure is likely to yield generalizable knowledge about the participant’s disorder or condition which is of vital importance for the understanding or amelioration of the participants’ disorder or condition.

If the PH IRB believes the proposed research and its inclusion of children does not meet one of the three categories listed above, it may reference 45 CFR 46.407.

- **45 CFR 46.407:** Research not otherwise approvable which presents a reasonable opportunity to further the understanding, prevention, or alleviation of a serious problem affecting the health or welfare of children. The PH IRB may approve such research if it finds:
  - a. The research will be conducted in accordance with sound ethical principles.

### **Federally Funded Studies and 407 Consideration**

For any non-HHS conducted or supported research, if the PH IRB finds its inclusion of children to be permissible in accordance with 45 CFR 46.407, the research may begin after official PH IRB approval is received. However, when the PH IRB approves HHS-conducted or supported research involving children in accordance with 46.407, additional federal mandated steps must first be taken.

Certification to the HHS Secretary, through OHRP, that the research has been reviewed and meets the criteria of 46.407 must be submitted by the PH IRB for “407 consideration”. The protocol will be published in the Federal Register for public review and comment and OHRP will identify a panel of experts in pertinent disciplines (e.g. science, medicine, education, ethics, law) to provide a review. Important to note that in most cases, the total public comment period will last for 60 days after the Federal Register notice has been published and the expert panel meeting will be open to the public. Based on panel deliberations, reports, public comments, and its own analysis, OHRP will develop a recommendation within 90 days of the convened panel meeting.

### **Informed Consent Process**

In pediatric research, the informed consent process includes two elements: parental or guardian permission and child assent, where “assent” means a child’s affirmative agreement to participate in research and *not* their mere failure to object. In all cases where required, the PH IRB must determine that adequate provisions have been made for soliciting the assent of children and the permission of their parent(s) or guardian(s). As Oregon state law is silent on this issue in regards to human subject’s research, the Public Health Division extrapolates from state law regarding the age of consent for medical care without parental consent. The PH IRB may allow children at least 15 years of age to assent to research for themselves, without parental consent if:

- There is the potential of direct benefit to the child;
- Procedures involved in the research are not substantially different in their risks or benefits from existing treatment for which the minor would by state law be able to consent;
- Parental consent is not in the best interest of the child, is extremely difficult to obtain or would not be possible to obtain; and
- The research otherwise meets the federal requirements for research with children.

In all other cases, the PH IRB will determine whether the children to be enrolled in the study are capable of giving assent to participate by keeping their age, maturity, condition, and psychological state in mind. The PH IRB will also determine how assent must be documented, considering factors such as literacy and mental development. As a general rule, children seven years of age or older are considered capable of giving assent. The following guidelines are suggested:

- Age 7 - 9: A simple oral description of the child's involvement is given to the participant and verbal assent requested. The procedure may be documented on the informed consent form by the presence of the signature of a witness.
- Age 10 - 17: A more complete oral description of the research is given to the participant, along with written assent using age-appropriate terminology.

As in any consent process, the primary concern is that the participant is able to understand the explanation that is presented. A minor's dissent to participate in or withdraw from the research should be respected and honored unless the research provides access to a therapeutic intervention that is not otherwise available.

When parental/guardian permission is sought, the PH IRB will determine whether permission of one or both is necessary. If the research involves greater than minimal risk and no prospect of direct benefit to the children who are participants or is not otherwise approvable under the categories listed above, both parents/guardians must give their permission unless one is deceased, unknown, incompetent or not reasonably available, or when only one has legal responsibility for the care and custody of the child.

### **Mandatory Reporting**

Even if a minor provides information in confidence, appropriate authorities must be notified if there are health or safety concerns regarding a minor child or vulnerable adult. Such concerns include disclosure of abuse, neglect, or imminent harm to the minor or another person. Certain health and social service professionals in Oregon are legally required to report these types of health and safety concerns, including all state employees. If children and/or vulnerable adults are to be included in a research project, all informed consent forms must include Mandatory Reporting language notifying them of this possibility.

### **Waiver of Informed Consent and Assent**

Even where the PH IRB determines that the subjects are capable of assenting, a waiver of assent may be granted under the same circumstances in which consent may be waived in accordance with 46.116 of Subpart A. Further, the requirement for parental/guardian permission described in 46.116 and 46.408(b) may too be waived if:

- The provisions for a waiver of some or all of the elements of informed consent in 46.116 are met; **or**
- A research protocol is designed for conditions or for a subject population for which parental or guardian permission is not a reasonable requirement to protect the subjects (for example, neglected or abused children);
- An appropriate mechanism for protecting the children who will participate as subjects in the research is substituted. This mechanism dependent upon the nature and purpose of the activities described in the protocol, the risk and anticipated benefit to the subjects, and the subjects age, maturity, status, and condition; **and**
- The waiver is not inconsistent with Federal, State or local law.

The most frequent example for which such waivers would be granted is for studies limited to retrospective review of existing medical records.

Parental/guardian permission is not considered necessary if the minor is married as per Oregon law they are deemed to have arrived at the age of majority (ORS 109.520) nor if the minor is emancipated (ORS 419B.558).

### **Pregnant Minors**

Oregon state law sets the age of consent without parental/guardian permission for medical care, including prenatal care, at 15 years. Therefore, if a minor-subject participates in a research project in which they will be tested for pregnancy, if younger than 15, they must be informed prior to participation that if positive, their parents will be told of the result so they can access prenatal care.

### **Minors as Prisoners**

When a minor is also considered a prisoner per 45 CFR 46, Subpart C, “Additional Protections Pertaining to Biomedical and Behavioral Research Involving Prisoners as Subjects” the Public Health Division Policy on Prisoners in Research will also apply. Juvenile prisoners are an especially vulnerable population and must be afforded additional protections. Due to their confinement, juvenile prisoners may be exploited as research participants because of their ready availability, dependent status, and diminished capacity to consent. As such, the PH IRB will consider this type of research on a case-by-case basis. A clear rationale for conducting this type of research must be included in any application material sent to the PH IRB.

### **Wards**

Wards of the state may participate in research if the research:

- involves no more than minimal risk; or
- presents greater than minimal risk but presents the prospect of direct benefit to the participant on the same basis as it would other minors.

Just as with all minors, children who are wards of the state may participate in research with greater than minimal risk not offering the prospect of direct benefit but holding the likelihood of producing generalizable knowledge about the subject’s disorder or condition. However, there are special stipulations with a wards participation, they may participate only if the research is:

- related to their status as wards; or
- conducted in schools, camps, hospitals, institutions, or similar settings in which the majority of children involved as subjects are not wards.

For greater than minimal risk research meeting the latter two categories of admissible research including wards of the state, the PH IRB will require appointment of an advocate for each child, in addition to any other individual acting on behalf of the child as guardian or in the legal capacity of a person taking on some of the functions and responsibilities of a parent. The advocate shall be someone who has the background and experience to act in, and agrees to act in, the best interest of the child for the duration of the child’s participation in the research and who is not associated in any way (except in the role as advocate or member of the IRB) with the research, the investigator, or the guardian organization.

Applicable Regulations:  
45 CFR 46, Subpart D

21 CFR 50, Subpart D