

State Marine Board - Staff Evaluation
2021-23 Boating Facility and Waterway Access Grant Application Ranking Criteria

Grant No.

Total Score:

Project Name:

Applicant:

Application Quality and Applicant Performance

1 Quality of application: <i>Based on completeness, comprehensibility, supporting material, etc.</i>	Excellent	20	<input type="text"/>
	Good	10	<input type="text"/>
	Adequate	5	<input type="text"/>
	Inadequate	0	<input type="text"/>
2 Applicants prior grant performance: <i>Communication during all phases of past projects, management of contractor/vendor, supervision, reimbursement and timeliness. First time applicants will receive Good score</i>	Excellent	20	<input type="text"/>
	Good	10	<input type="text"/>
	Average	5	<input type="text"/>
	Poor	0	<input type="text"/>
3 Applicants operation, maintenance or education program performance: <i>Attention to user needs, site cleanliness, operational condition, condition of past projects, longevity of education program. First time applicants will receive Good score</i>	Excellent	15	<input type="text"/>
	Good	10	<input type="text"/>
	Average	5	<input type="text"/>
	Poor	0	<input type="text"/>
4 Applicant's priorities: <i>Determined by applicant. If one application is submitted it is the first priority.</i>	First	5	<input type="text"/>
	Second	3	<input type="text"/>
	Third	1	<input type="text"/>
	More than three	0	<input type="text"/>

Application Quality and Applicant Performance Total

Project Need, Importance and User Support

5 Priority in Statewide Plan, Education Plan or Business Plan: <i>Is the project identified in OSMB Statewide Plan or Applicant planning documents? If project is a study or master plan for an element identified use that score.</i>	High	15	<input type="text"/>
	Medium	10	<input type="text"/>
	Low	5	<input type="text"/>
	Not Listed	0	<input type="text"/>
6 Statewide or regional importance of waterbody: <i>Triennial Survey county waterbody use average for two surveys and applicant reported use.</i>	High	15	<input type="text"/>
	Medium	10	<input type="text"/>
	Low	5	<input type="text"/>
	Not Listed	0	<input type="text"/>
7 Boating Activity Opportunity <i>Consider what side of waterbody facilities are located. Exclude facilities that you cannot access due to physical barriers such as dams or rapids. Boating activity being served by project in contrast to other facilities. Consider the waterbody/regional impact if the project was not repaired, expanded or replaced. Consider boater use density. Consider ADA accessibility.</i>	>30	35	<input type="text"/>
	26-30 miles	30	<input type="text"/>
	21-25 miles	25	<input type="text"/>
	16-20 miles	20	<input type="text"/>
	11-15 miles	15	<input type="text"/>
	4-10 miles	10	<input type="text"/>
	1-3 miles	5	<input type="text"/>
<1	0	<input type="text"/>	

8 Access and education <i>Education component clearly outlined, including target audience, need and outcomes.</i>	Access and education	20	
	Access with limited accessibility & communities	15	
	Access OR Safety through education	10	
	Technical assistance	5	
9 Diversity, Equity and Inclusion <i>Consider location, underserved community involvement, participation in process, target education audience, environment etc.</i>	High	20	
	Medium	10	
	Low	5	
	No evidence	0	
10 User group/community/political support for project: <i>Includes letters, emails, resolutions etc. Custom letters from individuals, clubs, organizations, landowners have higher support than petitions or chain letters.</i>	High Support Boater	15	
	High Support Other	10	
	Medium Support Boater	10	
	Medium Support Other	5	
	Low Support Boater	5	
	Low Support Other	3	
	No evidence	0	

Project Need, Importance and User Support Total	0
--	----------

Applicant and Other Matching Contributions and Fees
--

11 Applicant matching contributions: <i>Includes all applicant administrative, force account and cash match</i>	>50%	30	
	45-49%	25	
	40-44%	20	
	36-40%	15	
	31-35%	10	
	26-30%	5	
	25%	1	
	<25%	0	
12 Other non-applicant matching contributions: <i>Includes all Other administrative, force account and cash match</i>	>30%	10	
	21-30%	7	
	11-20%	5	
	5-10%	3	
	1-5%	1	
	<1%	0	
13 Access fees charged: <i>Includes: entrance fee, launch fee, parking fee, etc.</i>	Free	30	
	\$1.00-\$2.00	25	
	\$2.01-\$3.00	20	
	\$3.01-\$4.00	15	
	\$4.01-\$5.00	10	
	\$5.01-\$10.00	5	
	\$10.01 and above	0	
14 Education fees charged: <i>Includes: fee paid by individual to participate to complete class, training or minimum 1 hour rental, per hour cost</i>	Free	30	
	\$1.00-\$10.00	25	
	\$10.01-\$15.00	20	
	\$15.01-\$20.00	15	
	\$20.01-\$25.00	10	
	\$25.01-\$30.00	5	
	\$30.01 and above	0	

Applicant and Other Matching Contributions and Fees Total	0
--	----------

Design, Permitting and Suitability

15 Type of access project: <i>Select up to 3 items included in the project. Launch ramps include floating kayak launches, slides and designated carry-down access. Do not include utilities, signage or other subparts as "other" unless it is the only part of the project.</i>	Vessel Waste Collection	20	<input type="text"/>
	Launch Ramps/Tie-up Docks	15	<input type="text"/>
	Restrooms	10	<input type="text"/>
	Parking/Access Roads	7	<input type="text"/>
	Property acquisition	7	<input type="text"/>
	Boarding/Ski Docks	5	<input type="text"/>
	Showers/Rinse Station/Changing Room	5	<input type="text"/>
	Other eligible elements	3	<input type="text"/>
	Non-eligible elements	0	<input type="text"/>
16 How many items does the project address? <i>Mark each item that applies</i>	Safety/Improve Functionality	2	<input type="text"/>
	Reduced Maintenance Needs	2	<input type="text"/>
	Useful Life Extension	2	<input type="text"/>
	Accessibility/ ADA	2	<input type="text"/>
	Disburse Use/Conflict Management	2	<input type="text"/>
17 Level of design and engineering completed: <i>If project is for master planning, hydro, cultural etc. consider the status of the statement of work, RFP etc.</i>	Ready to Bid -Final	20	<input type="text"/>
	Permit Package	15	<input type="text"/>
	Preliminary	10	<input type="text"/>
	Conceptual	5	<input type="text"/>
	None	0	<input type="text"/>
18 Project development suitability & impacts: <i>Consider design standard and environmental, cultural, land use, floodplain development</i>	Highly suitable/minor impact	20	<input type="text"/>
	Highly suitable/moderate impact	15	<input type="text"/>
	Suitable/moderate impact	10	<input type="text"/>
	Suitable/significant impact	5	<input type="text"/>
	Not suitable/extensive impact	0	<input type="text"/>
19 Status of in-water permits: <i>Consider the status of DSL, Corps, DEQ 401 etc. in-water permit process.</i>	All in-water permits approved or N/A	20	<input type="text"/>
	COE, DSL, or DEQ approved	10	<input type="text"/>
	Application prepared and submitted	5	<input type="text"/>
	Application not prepared or submitted	0	<input type="text"/>
20 Status of upland/floodplain or specialized permits: <i>Permits to consider No-rise, 1200-C, geotechnical, SHPO, driveway, land use</i>	Permits approved or N/A	20	<input type="text"/>
	Partially approved	10	<input type="text"/>
	Applications prepared and submitted	5	<input type="text"/>
	Applications not prepared or submitted	0	<input type="text"/>

Design, Permitting and Suitability Total	0
---	----------

Boating Safety Program review comments. (0-50 points)

<i>Consider quality of education components, target audience, goal and outcome, safety and enforcement.</i>	
Points	0-50

Policy and Environmental Program review comments. (0-50 points)

<i>Consider waterway rules and history, environment, education components, target audience, and safety.</i>	
Points	0-50

Facility Program review comments: Include your initials after comments.

--

	Total Points Possible	Total Points Awarded
Application Quality and Applicants Past Performance	60	0
Project Need, Importance and User Support	130	0
Applicant and Other Matching Contributions and Fees	100	0
Design, Permitting and Suitability	115	0
Boating Safety Program	50	0
Policy & Environmental Program	50	0
Total	505	0