	State Marine Board - Staff Evaluation					
	2021-23 Boating Facility and Waterway Access Grant Appli	cation Rankir	ng Crite	ria		
Grai	Grant No.		Total Score:			
Proj	ect Name: Applican	t:				
	Application Quality and Applicant Performanc					
1	Quality of application:	Excellent	20			
	Based on completeness, comprehensibility, supporting	Good	10	ļ		
	material, etc.	Adequate	5			
		Inadequate	0			
2	Applicants prior grant performance:	Excellent	20			
	Communication during all phases of past projects, management of	Good	10			
	contractor/vendor, supervision, reimbursement and timeliness. First time	Average	5			
	applicants will receive Good score	Poor	0			
3	Applicants operation, maintenance or education program performance:	Excellent	15	[]		
	Attention to user needs, site cleanliness, operational condition,	Good	10			
	condition of past projects, longevity of education program. First	Average	5			
	time applicants will receive Good score	Poor	0			
4	Applicant's priorities:	First	5	[]		
	Determined by applicant. If one application is submitted	Second	3			
	it is the first priority.	Third	1			
		ore than three	0			
	Application Quality and App	licant Performar	ce Total	0		
	Project Need, Importance and User Support					
5	Priority in Statewide Plan, Education Plan or Business Plan:	High	15			
	Is the project identified in OSMB Statewide Plan or Applicant	Medium	10			
	planning documents? If project is a study or master plan for an	Low	5			
	element identified use that score.	Not Listed	0			

- 6 Statewide or regional importance of waterbody: Triennial Survey county waterbody use average for two surveys and applicant reported use.
- 7 Boating Activity Opportunity >30 35 Consider what side of waterbody facilities are located. Exclude 26-30 miles 30 facilities that you cannot access due to physical barriers such as 21-25 miles 25 dams or rapids. Boating activity being served by project in contrast 16-20 miles 20 to other facilities. Consider the waterbody/regional impact if the 11-15 miles 15 project was not repaired, expanded or replaced. Consider boater 4-10 miles 10 use density. Consider ADA accessibility. 1-3 miles 5 0 <1

High

Low

Medium

Not Listed

15

10

5

0

8	Access and education		Access and education	20	
	Education component clearly	Access with limited	d accessibility & communities	15	
	outlined, including target	Access	OR Safety through education	10	
	audience, need and outcomes.		Technical assistance	5	
9	Diversity, Equity and Inclusion		High	20	
	Consider location, underserved community	y involvement,	Medium	10	
	participation in process, target education	audience,	Low	5	
	environment etc.		No evidence	0	
9	User group/community/political suppor	t for project:	High Support Boater	15	
	Includes latters analis resolutions ato	Sustava lattava	High Support Other	10	
	Includes letters, emails, resolutions etc. Cus from individuals, clubs, organizations, lando higher support than petitions or chain letters		Medium Support Boater	10	
			Medium Support Other	5	
		ers.	Low Support Boater	5	
			Low Support Other	3	
			No evidence	0	

	Project Need, Importance and User Support Total				
	Applicant and Other Matching Con	tributions and Fees			
10	Applicant matching contributions:	>50%	30		
	Includes all applicant administrative, force account and	45-49%	25		
	cash match	40-44%	20		
		36-40%	15		
		31-35%	10		
		26-30%	5		
		25%	1		
		<25%	0		
11	Other non-applicant matching contributions:	>30%	10		
	Includes all Other administrative, force account and cash	21-30%	7		
	match	11-20%	5		
		5-10%	3		
		1-5%	1		
		<1%	0		
12	Access fees charged:	Free	30		
	Includes: entrance fee, launch fee, parking fee, etc.	\$1.00-\$2.00	25		
		\$2.01-\$3.00	20		
		\$3.01-\$4.00	15		
		\$4.01-\$5.00	10		
		\$5.01 -\$10.00	5		
		\$10.01 and above	0		
13	Education fees charged:	Free	30		
	Includes: fee paid by individual to participate to	\$1.00-\$10.00	25		
	complete class, training or minimum 1 hour rental, per	\$10.01-\$15.00	20		
	hour cost	\$15.01-\$20.00	15		
		\$20.01-\$25.00	10		
		\$25.01-\$30.00	5		
		\$30.01 and above	0		
Applicant and Other Matching Contributions and Fees Total				0	

	Design, Permitting and Suitability				
14	Type of access project:	20			
	Select up to 3 items included in the project.	Launch Ramps/Tie-up Docks	15		
	Launch ramps include floating kayak	Restrooms	10		
	launches, slides and designated carry-down	Parking/Access Roads	7		
	access. Do not include utilities, signage or	Property acquisition	7		
	other subparts as "other" unless it is the only	Boarding/Ski Docks	5		
	part of the project.	Showers/Rinse Station/Changing Room	5		
		Other eligible elements	3		
		Non-eligible elements	0		
15	How many items does the project address?	Safety/Improve Functionality	2		
		Reduced Maintenance Needs	2		
	Mark each item that applies	Useful Life Extension	2		
		Accessibility/ ADA	2		
		Disburse Use/Conflict Management	2		
16	Level of design and engineering completed:	Ready to Bid -Final	20		
		Permit Package	15		
	If project is for master planning, hydro, cultural et	-	10		
	consider the status of the statement of work, RFP	etc. Conceptual	5		
		None	0		
17	Project development suitability & impacts:	Highly suitable/minor impact	20		
		Highly suitable/moderate impact	15		
	Consider design standard and environmental,	Suitable/moderate impact	10		
	cultural, land use, floodplain development	Suitable/significant impact	5		
		Not suitable/extensive impact	0		
40	Otatus of in materia and its		20		
18	Status of in-water permits:	All in-water permits approved or N/A	20		
	Consider the status of DSL, Corps, DEQ 401	COE, DSL, or DEQ approved	10		
	etc. in-water permit process.	Application prepared and submitted	5		
		Application not prepared or submitted	0		
19	Status of upland/floodplain or aposialized nerr	Dormits approved or N/A	20		
19	Status of upland/floodplain or specialized perm	•••			
	Permits to consider No-rise, 1200-C,	Partially approved	10 -		
	geotechnical, SHPO, driveway, land use	Applications prepared and submitted	5		
		Applications not prepared or submitted	0		

Design, Permitting and Suitability Total

0

Consider quality of education components, target audience, goal and outcome, safety and enforcement. Points 0-50

Policy and Environmental Program review comments. (0-50 points)

Consider waterway rules and history, environment, education components, target audience, and safety.

Points 0-50

Facility Program review comments: Include your initials after comments.

	Total Points Possible	Total Points Awarded
Application Quality and Applicants Past Performance	60	0
Project Need, Importance and User Support	140	0
Applicant and Other Matching Contributions and Fees	100	0
Design, Permitting and Suitability	135	0
Boating Safety Program	50	0
Policy & Environmental Program	50	0

Total 535 0